

ISSN Online: 2349-7785

Bio-control of Fusarium Wilt of Pigeon Pea by Isolated Bacterial Strains

Jyoti Rana 1 , S.C Tiwari 2 Vikas S Jadon 1 and Sanjay Gupta1 * ¹Department of Biotechnology & Biochemistry, SBSPGI, Balawala, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

2 Department of Botany & Microbiology, HNB Garhwal Central University, Srinagar, Garhwal, Uttarakhand, India

Received 28 November 2015; accepted in revised form 21 December 2015

Abstract:Fusarium wilt is one of the major yield limiting factors of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*). For an eco-friendly and sustainable management of such a disease, bacterial isolates were evaluated against fungal pathogen *Fusarium udum*, which is known to be, infecting the susceptible variety of pigeon pea, commonly prevalent in India. To assess the antifungal efficacy of these bacterial isolates, we have done the *in vitro* as well as *in vivo* studies were performed. Thirty isolates of *Pseudomonas* spp. and twenty isolates of *Bacillus spp*. have been isolated from soil of pigeon pea field. Among these, five isolates of *Pseudomonas* spp. (Pf₀₅, Pf₁₄, Pf₁₉, Pf_{23} , Pf_{25}) and four isolates of *Bacillus* spp. (Bc₀₁, Bc₀₉, Bc₁₄, Bc₂₀) were considered as potential biocontrol agents against the fusarial wilt, as they have been attributed to the production of antifungal metabolites including hydrogen cyanide, chitinase and siderophores. Under pot condition, Pf_{14} and Bc_{20} isolates treated pigeon pea seeds have shown 59 % and 50 % increase in seedling growth respectively and reduction in fusarium wilt incidence. When we further tested under field condition, P_{14}^f treated seeds resulted in higher grain yield then Bc₂₀ treated seeds. This indicates *Pseudomonas* spp. Pf_{ss} had good potential as a biocontrol against fusarium wilt of pigeon pea.

Key words: Biocontrol, fusarial wilt, antifungal metabolites.

Introduction

Pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) is an important pulse crop cultivated in the tropics and sub-tropics. Crop yield is significantly reduced due to wilt disease caused by *Fusarium udum* Butler, with an estimated yield loss of US\$ 36 million in India and \$5 million in eastern Africa⁹. Like any other soil-borne diseases, the wilt disease of Pigeon pea is difficult to control with chemical fertilizers. Some pesticides and chemicals have been recommended for the management of the disease, but none have been proven to give the desired success in controlling the disease 13. Pesticides are reported to cause adverse effects on treated soil ecosystem because of their non-biodegradable nature and also

because they induce resistance in pathogens 2. Biological pesticides have the potential to replace or augment conventional plant disease management. Several studies have demonstrated reduced incidence of diseases in different crops after supplementing the soils with fungal or bacterial antagonists 12, 29 and 30. This study was undertaken to assess the efficacy of certain biocontrol agents against wilt disease of Pigeon pea.

Material and methods *Collection of soil samples*

Both rhizospheric and non rhizospheric (bulk soil at »15 cm depth) soils were collected from different agricultural fields in the vicinity of Garhwal,

^{*}Corresponding author (Sanjay Gupta)

E-mail: < sanjay_gupta9999@rediffmail.com> © 2015, Har Krishan Bhalla & Sons

Uttarakhand (India) during the season (May-August, 2009). Five replicates of the each soil sample was collected from the same field at a little distance which denotes a composite sampling of the soil. These five samples were processed separately for microbiological studies. The description of the soil sampling sites is given in table 1.

Isolation and identification of bacterial isolates

The rhizospheric and non-rhizospheric soil samples were serially diluted and 10⁶ dilution fraction was plated on yeast extract mannitol agar (YEMA) and King's B media, and were incubated at 27°C for 72 hours. The isolates were identified according Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology 7 .

I**solation and identification of fungal pathogens**

The fungal pathogens were isolated from wilted stem of *Cajanus cajan*. Transverse sections of the wilted stem were placed on the PDA and RBA medium plates, incubated at 27°C for 4 days. On the basis of microscopic observations and morphological characteristics, the strains were identified as *Fusarium udum***.**

Screening of isolates for their biocontrol potential against phytopathogenic fungi

The bacterial isolates were screened against *Fusarium udum* by modified dual culture method given by Liang *et al.* 25. Observation was recorded for each plate independently for zone of inhibition formed. Percentage growth inhibition was calculated by the following equation:

PI =
$$
\frac{100 (R_2 - R_1)}{R_1}
$$

Where R1 is the radius of colony in the direction of bacterial colony and R2 is the radius of the fungal colony in the direction with no bacterial colony.

Determination of HCN, siderophore production by biologically active agents

The test bacteria were screened for the pro-

duction of hydrogen cyanide by the method of Lork 24. Siderophore production was detected by universal assay of Shwyn and Nielands 23.

Determination of chitinase activity

Chitinase activity was determined according to Robert *et al.* ²¹.

Assay for the detection of antifungal activity

To test the antifungal activity of the bacterial isolates, the bacterial cultures were multiplied / cultured in nutrient broth medium. The test fungi, *Fusarium udum* maintained on Potato dextrose agar (PDA) slants were sub-cultured. The spores were scrapped and suspended in 10 ml of sterile normal saline solution. A 0.1 ml of diluted spore suspension of the fungi was spread on Mueller Hinton agar (MH), nutrient agar (NA) and Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) plates. Wells of 08 mm in diameter were punched using a sterile cork borer. In to each well, 200 μl of bacterial culture was pipetted. Nutrient broth was taken as negative control and 100 mg ml-1 antifungal antibiotic (nystatin) was used as positive control. The plates were incubated for 5 days at 28°C. Antifungal activity was evaluated by measuring the growth inhibition zone against test fungi.

Seed germination assay

In vitro seed germination test was performed on the seeds of *Cajanus cajan*. The seeds were surface sterilized by 2-3 time washing them in sterile distilled water, then kept in 0.1 % HgCl_{2} solution for I min, followed by several washings with distilled water. They were kept in 70 % ethanol for 1 minute and again washed several times with sterile distilled water. The bacterial cultures (107 cfu ml-1) were centrifuged, supernatant was discarded and the pellet was suspended in NSS. The surface sterilized seeds were inoculated with bacterial cultures for 2 h and placed on petri plates containing soft agar. Germinated seeds were counted to determine percent germination. Seedling growth (seedling biomass, root and shoot length) was determined after ten days of incubation. Weller ¹⁵ and Cook³, methods ¹⁹ was adopted for seed bacterization.

Sterile soil assay

The surface sterilized seeds were sown in small pots filled with sterile soil. The experiment was conducted for following sets of combinations: 1. Soil inoculated with fungus + non-bacterial seeds (Negative control). 2. Soil inoculated with fungus + bacterized seeds. 3. Bacterized seeds. 4. Control (unbacterized seeds). Pots were watered routinely with sterile water. After 30 days, plants were uprooted and seedlings growth (shoot and root length, fresh shoot and root weight) were recorded. All experiments were carried out in triplicate.

Results

150 Soil and root samples were collected from different places of Garhwal region. The root and soil samples were examined for the presence of *Fusarium udum* and soil samples having low number of *Fusarium udum* were later selected for isolation of promising biological control bacterial agents.

Viable plate count of bacterial as well as fungal isolates were determined both in rhizospheric and non-rhizospheric soil samples of different regions of Garhwal. The viable count of bacteria in the rhizospheric soils of different crops ranged from

1.23 x 10⁶ to 1.28 x 10⁸ CFU g⁻¹ soil while in the non-rhizospheric soils it varied from 1.30 x 10⁵ to 1.12 x 10^6 CFU g⁻¹ soil. Bacteria were grouped into two types (i) Gram -ve short rods, (ii) Gram +ve bacilli.

Bacterial isolates

A total of 50 bacteria were isolated. Selected rhizobacteria of two major groups fluorescent *Pseudomonas spp.*, *Bacillus spp*. were considered in this study. These isolates were identified according to Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. Out of the 50 isolates 20 isolates were of *Bacillus sp*. and designated as Bc_{01} to Bc_{20} & 30 isolates were of *Pseudomonas sp*. designated as Pf_{01} to Pf_{30} . The morphological and biochemical characteristics of groups of bacteria are presented in Table 1.

Screening the antagonistic activity of isolates against *Fusarium udum*

Five isolates of fluorescent *Pseudomonas* and four isolates of *Bacillus* demonstrated antifungal activity against *Fusarium udum*. In further experiments *Bacillus sp*. Bc₂₀ showed a very prominent 17 % inhibition of *Fusarium udum*, while

Table 1. Morphological and biochemical characteristics of the test isolates (Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology)

Bacillus sp. Bc_{14} showed 14 % inhibition. *Pseudomonas sp.* Pf₁₉ showed 15 % inhibition of *Fusarium udum*. As experimental conditions affect the inhibition zone, same experimental conditions were maintained while repeating the experiments. The results are given in Fig. 1.

Screening of isolates for biocontrol activity

The fluorescent *Pseudomonas* isolates were grouped into four biocontrol activity groups. BCA

Screening for antagonistic activity

Fig. 1. Screening for antagonistic activity

Table 2. Biocontrol activity based typing of *Pseudomonas* **isolates**

Isolate designation	No. of isolates	Chitinase production	Siderophore production	Antifungal activity	HCN production	Activity profile
$\Pr_{\substack{02,\\c}}$ $\mathrm{Pf}_{04,}$ Pf_{06} Pf Pf. $f_{11,} Pf_{13,}$ 08, Pf, Pf_{17} Pf_{20} 15.	12(40.00)	$^{+}$				C, H
$\mathrm{Pf}_{24,}$ Pf_{22} Pf_{26} $\frac{Pf_{01}}{c}$ Pf_{07} Pf_{09} Pf, $Pf_{16, c}$ Pf_{18} 10, Pf_{28} Pf_{29} Pf_{27}	9(30.00)	$+$			$^{+}$	C, H
$Pf_{03,} Ff_{12,}$ $Pf_{21,} Pf_{30}$ Pf_{12}	4(10.33)	$+$		$^{+}$		\mathcal{C}
Pf_{14} , Pf Pf_{05} 19. Pf_{23} , Pf_{25}	5(10.66)	$+$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$+$	C, S, A,H
Total number of isolates	30(100)	30(100)	5(16.67)	9(30)	14(40.66)	

C-Chitinase production, S- Siderophore production

A- Antifungal activity; H-HCN production

Figure in parenthesis indicates the percentage

Pseudomonas followed by hydrogen cyanide production, 40.66 % and antifungal activity, 30.33 %. Only five isolate produced siderophore and observed positive for antifungal activity (Table 2).

On the basis of multiple BCA traits, certain isolates from *Bacillus* and fluorescent *Pseudomonas* were analyzed for quantitative estimation of BCA traits. The output of the assessment is as follows:

HCN production

HCN production was seen in Bc_{20} , Pf_{19} and Pf_{23} , as there is a remarkable change in color from yellow to reddish-brown, therefore, had strong production of HCN. Some other strains were also positive for HCN production but were not active against the phytopathogens (Table. 2 & 3).

Siderophore production

The production of Siderophore by test isolates were assayed in term of zone diameter of orangeyellow halo produced on CAS agar plates. Fluorescent *Pseudomonas* (Ps₁₉) and *Bacillus* isolate (Bc₂₀) showed almost equal zone size »13.50 mm.

Screening of chitinase activity

Both the Bacillus sp. and Pseudomonas sp. were screened for the production of chitinase enzyme. They showed growth when inoculated on the colloidal chitin agar plate, which contained colloidal chitin as the sole source of carbon and nitrogen for the antagonists. Hence, both the strains were positive for chitinase (Table 2 & Table 3).

Antifungal activity of test bacterial isolates

Antifungal activity of thirty isolates of fluorescent *Pseudomonas* isolate (Pf_{01} to Pf_{30}) and twenty *Bacillus* isolate $(BC_{01 \text{ to }} BC_{20})$ were checked against *Fusarium udum* using three different media, Muller-Hinton (MH), Nutrient agar (NA) and Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA). The isolates \Pr_{19} and \Pr_{20} also exhibit broad-spectrum activities against test fungi. Among thirty *Pseudomonas* isolates five isolate ($Pf_{0.6}$, Pf_{14} , Pf_{19} , Pf_{23} , and Pf_{25}) showed activity against fungal growth and out of the five isolates only, Pf_{19} proved to be the best bacterial isolate exhibiting strong antifungal activity against four fungi. Similarly, among twenty isolates of *Bacillus* only four strains (BC_{01}) Bc_{09} , Bc_{14} , Bc_{20}) showed positive antifungal activity and above all, Bc_{20} proved to be the best bacterial isolate exhibiting antifungal activity against *Fusarium* sp. on all the three test media (Fig. 2 & 3). No antifungal activity was observed on SDA medium as there is interfering component in SDA medium which limits the growth of *Psuedomonas sp.* Similar results were reported by Ahmad *et al*., ¹ where, *Psuedomonas sp.* exhibit broad spectrum antifungal activity on Muller-Hinton medium

Table 3. Biocontrol activity based typing of *Bacillus* **isolates**

Isolate designation	No. of isolates	Chitinase production	Siderophore production	Antifungal activity	HCN production	Activity profile
Bc_{02} , Bc_{04} , Bc_{06} , 8 (40.00) Bc_{08} , Bc_{10} , Bc_{12} ,		$^{+}$				
$Bc_{16}Bc_{18}$ Bc_{05} , Bc_{07} , Bc_{09} Bc_{15} , Bc_{17} , Bc_{19}	6(30.00)	$^{+}$			$^{+}$	C, H
Bc_{03} , Bc_{13}	2(10.00)	$^{+}$		$\qquad \qquad$	$^{+}$	C, H
Bc_{14} , Bc_{20}	4(20.00)	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	C, S, A, H
$Bc_{01}Bc_{09}$ Total number of isolates	20	20(100)	4(20)	4(20)	12(60)	

C-Chitinase production; S- Siderophore production

A- Antifungal activity; H-HCN production

Figure in parenthesis indicates the percentage

Fig. 3. Antifungal activity of *Bacillus* isolates on different media

against *Aspergillus, Fuasrium* and *Rhizoctonia bataticola. (*names of microbes in italics which is not there in proof*)*

Seed germination assay

On the basis of seedling biomass, root length and shoot length growth, these bacterial isolates

were tentatively grouped into four types, influencing the increase in biomass by 1.6-15.8 % (I group), 16.7-31% (II group), 32.0-46.8 % (III group.) and 47.6-50.8 % (IV group). However, seedling biomass in untreated seeds (control) ranged from 1.26-1.30 g. The positive growth influence on seedling was more visible in isolates belonging to group IV. None of the test isolate adversely affected the seedling growth upto 10 day observation. In the same run increase in the root and shoot length were recorded as 9.7-19.4 % to 41.9-48.4 % and 12.5 -20.8 %, respectively. As a result, group IV had been observed as the best group of isolates which had given best index for growth of biomass, root length as well as shoot length.

Sterile soil assay (*In vivo* **interaction of phyto -pathogen and antagonist)**

Compared to fungal infested soil, treatment of test isolates resulted in increase in total plant weight. Among five efficient isolates of fluorescent *Pseudomonas* Pf_{19} was resulted best as in its respective treatment length and weight of plant recorded the best of it. When, treatment was given with four selected *Bacillus* isolates only BC_{20} reported working efficiently against the infectious fungus as resulted in terms of plant length and weight. Decrease in plant length by 35.3 % and 16 % decrease in plant biomass was recorded in presence of phytopathogens. When Pf_{05} , Pf_{14} , Pf_{19} Pf_{23} Pf_{25}) were applied along with *Fusarium* it showed 3.12 %, 3.22 %, 4.54 %, 3.90 % and 4.19 % increase in plant length and 12 %, 11 %, 14 %, 12 % and 13 % increase in plant biomass with respect to positive control and 23 % increase in plant biomass with respect to negative control.

When bacteria Bc_{01} , Bc_{09} , Bc_{14} , and Bc_{20}) were applied along with *Fusarium,* it showed 5.94 % increase in plant length and 12 % increase in variation in root and shoot weight reveals the effect of stress caused by pathogenic fungi present in same rhizospheric environment, the data confirmed that two isolates used were not only able to promote the growth of *Cajanus cajan* because of direct effect, but also able to restrict the fungal pathogens (Fig. 4 & Fig. 5).

Discussion

Plant rhizosphere is known to be preferred ecological niche for various types of soil microorganisms due to rich nutrient availability. Growth promotion may be attributed to other mechanisms such as production of plant growth promoting hormones in the rhizosphere and other PGP activities 17, 19. A model was also developed for lowering the plant ethylene concentration by plant growth promoting bacteria⁵. The primary interest of present study was selection of wild type rhizosphere competent bacteria having biological control activity against phytopathogen of *Cajanus cajan* mainly *Fusarium udum.*,which cause wilting and drooping of host plant. As expected, a significant increase in the microbial density of rhizospheric soil was observed compared to non rhizospheric soils which could possibly be due to the nutrient rich environment and availability of nutrients from root

Sterile Soil Assay for Fluorescence Pseudomonas iso.

Fig. 4. Sterile soil assay for *Pseudomonas* isolates

Fig. 5. Sterile soil assay for *Bacillus* isolates

exudates, which includes an array of low and high molecular weight compounds 15. Our observation demonstrated increase in the rhizospheric microbial density 14, 18 .The biocontrol activity of bacterial antagonists against plant disease has been attributed to the production of antifungal metabolites, including HCN hydrolytic enzymes like chitinase, and siderophores $16, 22$ and 28 . Isolates showed the production of both HCN and siderophore, as means of biological control ¹⁶, and suppress the disease caused by them 4. These rhizospheric isolates were found to produce chitinase. Lytic enzymes produced by *B. circulans* WL-12 were noted to affect the integrity of fungal cell walls ⁸. Improved efficiency of biocontrol and plant growth promoting was also observed in *Bacillus subtilis* AF1 isolates by chitin supplemented formulations as reported by 10 . Chitinolysis plays an important role in biological control of plant disease control by chitin-supplemented application of chitinolytic biocontrol agents 11 . Bc₂₀ and *Pseudomonas* isolate Pf_{14} had a broad spectrum antifungal activity so & thus, effective biocontrol agent of Fusarium wilt of pigeon pea ¹¹. Many factors like production of antifungal compounds including antibiotics, HCN as well as siderophore may contribute to such activity as reported by others ^{6, 20} and ²¹. Voisard *et al.*, ¹³ reported the cyanide production by *Psuedomonas fluorescence* which help to suppress black root rot of tobacco under genobiotic conditions. Further, the production of siderophores influences the plant growth. They bind to the available form of iron $Fe3⁺$ in the rhizosphere, thus making it unavailable to the phytopathogens and protecting the plant health $24, 25$.

Results given in preceded section clearly indicates that isolates of *Pseudomonas* spp and *Bacillus* sp. have potential as an effective biocontrol against fusarial wilt of pigeonpea crop. Isolate Pf19 and Bc20 provided better biocontrol than other isolates screened for biological control against Fusarium udum⁹. The above-tested isolates could exhibit more than two or three PGP traits, which may promote plant growth directly or indirectly or synergistically. Pseudomonas isolates used in this study produced, both benzoate and salicylate type of siderophores. Similarly, *Bacillus* isolates also produced benzoate type of siderophores. There is a little contribution of bacterial siderophores to the overall requirement of plants. However, the role of microbial siderophores in PGPP is focused on biocontrol activities due their competitive effects with the plant pathogens ^{26, 27}. Further studies on the performance of these isolates and their mutants on the growth of plant will uncover the mechanism and potential of these biocontrol agents exhibiting multiple traits.

Conclusion

Food production for world population required an integrated approach combining the concepts of IPNS, IPDM and integrated water and land management for the development of of crop productivity and maintaining soil and environmental health. In this context plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) may influence the plant growth through biofertilization, phytostimulation and indirect mechanisms including biocontrol activity. In the present investigation four major group of free living rhizobacteria i.e. fluorescent Psuedomonas, Bacillus, Azotobacter and putative nitrogen fixing bacteria were isolated and screened for one or more plant growth promoting activities and were further analyzed for quantitative estimation of IAA and other PGP traits. Appliance of combination of compatible bio-control agents possessing differential mechanism of pathogen suppression is suggested as a reliable and potential means of disease suppression.

The present investigation clearly indicates no antagonism of one PGPR strain towards other PGPR strains in parallel strek or overlay methods and high potentiality of bacterial (fluorescent Pseudomonads and Bacillus) biocontrol agents against economically important plant disease i.e. Fusarium wilt of pigeon pea. The results further showed that development of bacterial consortium based on their interaction studies can reduce the possibilities of failure of potential microbial inoculants in rhizosphere.

References

- 1. **Ahmad, F., Ahmad, I., Khan, M.S. (2008).** Screening of free living rhizospheric bacteria for their multiple plant growth promoting activities. Microbiological Res. 163: 173-181.
- 2. **Garbeva, P., Van Veen, J.A., Van Elas, J.D. (2010).** Microbial diversity in soil: selection of microbial populations by plant and soil type and implications for disease suppressiveness. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 42: 243-247.
- 3. **Cook, R.J., Thomashow, L.S., Weller, D.M., Fujimotto, D., Mazzsola, M., Bangera, G., Kim, D. (1995).** Molecular mechanisms of defense by rhizobacteria against root disease. Nat Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 92(10): 4197-4201.
- 4. **Glick, B.R. (1995).** The enhancement of plant growth by free living bacteria. Can. J. Microbiol. 41: 109-117.
- 5. **Glick, B.R., Penrose, D.M., Li, J. (1998).** A model for the lowering of plant ethylene concentrations by plant growth promoting bacteria. J. Theor. Biol. 190: 63-68.
- 6. **Gupta, C.P., Dubey, R.C., Maheshwari. D.K. (2002).** Plant growth enhancement and suppression of *Macrophomina phaseolina* causing charcoal rot of peanut by flurescent *Psuedomonas*. Bio. Fert. Soils. 35: 399-405.
- 7. **Arora, N.K., Kang, S.C., Maheshwari, D.K. (2001).** Isolation od siderophore producing strains of *Rhizobium meliloti* and their biocontrol potential against *Macrophomina phaseolina* that causes charcoal rot of groundnut. Curr. Sci. 81: 673-677.
- 8. **Johnson, E.A., Villa, T.G., Lewls, M.J, Phaff, H.J. (1979).** Lysis of the cell wall of yeast *Phaffia rhodozyme* by a lytic complex from *Bacillus circulans* WL-12. *J. Appl. Biochem***.** 1: 273-282.
- 9. **Karimi, R., James, O., Owuoche, S.N.S. (2010).** Inheritance of *Fusarium* wilt resistance in pigeon pea. Ind. J. Genet. 70(3): 271-276.
- 10. **Manjula, K., Podile, A.R. (2001).** Chitin supplemented formulations improve biocontrol and plant growth promoting efficiency of Bacillus subtilis AF1. Can. J. Microbiol. 47: 618-625.
- 11. **Thomashow, L.S., Weller, D.M. (1995).** Current concepts in the use of introduced bacteria for biological disease control of *Gaeumamomyces graminis var tritici*. J. Bacteriol. 170: 3499- 3500.
- 12. **Van Elasas, J.D., Duarte, G.F., Keijzer-Wolters, A., Smit, S. (2000).** Analysis of the dynamics of fungal specific PCR of soil DNA followed by denaturating gradient gel electrophoresis. J. Microbiol Methods. 43: 133-151.
- 13. **Voisard, C., Keel, C., Hoss, D., Defago, J.G. (1989).** Cyanide production by *Psuedomonas*

fluorescence help suppress black root rot of tobacco under genobiotic conditions. EMBO J. 8: 351-358.

- 14. **Watanabe, J.T.J., Kobori, K., Miyashita, K., Fujii, T., Sakai, H., Uchida, M., Tanaka, H. (1993).** Identification of glutamic acid 204 and aspartic acid 200 in chitinase A1 of Bacillus circulans WL-12as essential residues for chitinase activity. J. Biol. Chem. 268: 18567-18572.
- 15. **Weller, D.M. (1988).** Biological control of soil borne pathogens in the rhizophere with bacteria. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 26: 279-407.
- 16. **Weller, D.M., Thomashowlaw, L.S. (1994).** Current challenges in introducing beneficial microorganisms into the rhizosphere. In: Molecular Ecology of Rhizosphere Microorganisms. Biotechnology and the Release of GMOs, O'Gara F, Dowling DN, Boesten B (Eds) VCH GmbH, Weinheim, Germany. Pp. 1-18.
- 17. **Whipps, J.W. (2001).** Microbial interaction and biocontrol in rhizosphere, J. Exp. Bot. 52: 487- 492.
- 18. **O'Sullivan, D.J., O'Gara, F. (1992).** Traits of fluorescent Pseudomonas spp. Involved in the suppression of plants root pathogens. Microbiol. Rev. 56(4): 662-672.
- 19. **Yadav, K.S., Dadarwal, K.R. (1997).** Biotechnological approaches in soil microorganisms for sustainable crop production. Scientific Publishers, Jodhpur, India. pp. 293-308.
- 20. **Janssen, P.H., Yates, P.S., Grinton, B.E., Taylor, P.M., Sait, M. (2002).** Improved cultivability of soil bacteria and isolation in pure culture of novel members of division Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria and Verrucomicrobia. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68: 2391-2396.
- 21. **Robert, W.K., Selitrennikoff, C.P. (1988).** Plant and bacterial chitinases differ in antifungal activity. J. Gen. Microbiol. 134: 169-176.
- 22. **Vassilev, N., Vassileva, M., Nikolaeva, I. (2006).** Simultaneous phosphate solubilising and biocontrol activity of microorganisms: potential and future trends. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 71: 137-144.
- 23. **Schwyn, B., Neilands, J.B. (1987).** Universal chemical assay for detection and determination of siderophores Anal. Biochem. 160: 47-56.
- 24. **Lork, H. (1948).** Production of hydrocyanic acid by bacteria. Physiol. Plant. 1: 142-146.
- 25. **Liang, X., Huang, H., Yanke, L., Kozub, G. (1996).** Control of damping-off of safflower by bacterial seed treatment. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 18: 43-49
- 26. **Bai, Y., Zhou, X., Smith, D.L. (2009).** Crop ecology, management and quality: Enhanced soybean plant growth resulting from co-inoculation of Bacillus strains with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. Crop Sci. 43: 1774-17781.
- 27. **Zaidi, A., Khan, M.S., Anil, M. (2010).** Bio-associative effect of rhizospheric microorganism on growth, yield and nutrient uptake on green gram. J. Plant Nutr. 27: 599-610.
- 28. **Dal Bello, G.M., Monaco, C.I., Simon, M.R. (2002).** Biological control of seedling blight of wheat caused by *Fusarium graminearum* with beneficial rhizosphere microorganisms. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 18(7): 627-636.
- 29. **Mohammed, A., Holger, H., Johannes, H. (2014).** Bacterial antagonists of fungal pathogens also control root-knot nematodes by induced systemic resistance of pomato plants. Plos One. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0090402.
- 30. **Mishra, D.S., Kumar, A., Prajapati, C.R., Singh, A.K., Sharma, S.D. (2013).** Identification of compatible bacterial and fungal isolate and their effectiveness against plant disease. J. Env. Biol. 34: 183-189.